27

28

4

7

11

1213

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

2728

The Court having considered the Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement and Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement Agreement") (Docket No. 52), and having preliminarily approved the same on March 12, 2008;

The Court having considered the First Amended Joint Stipulation of Settlement (Docket No. 61);

The Court having entered an Order directing that notice be given to the Class Members (Docket

No. 60), and notice having been individually mailed to the Class Members, and the Court having conducted a Fairness Hearing concerning the proposed settlement;

The Court having reviewed Class Counsel's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses and the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of that motion;

The Court having reviewed the entire record of this action, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, the Defendant, and the Class;
- 2. Notice of the requested award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses was directed to Class Members in a reasonable manner, and complies with Rule 23(h)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- 3. Class Members and any party from whom payment is sought have been given the opportunity to object in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h)(2);
- 4. The requested award of \$1,875,000 in attorneys' fees is supported by the percentage of the common fund method, as it is less than this Circuit's benchmark of 25 percent. *See*, *e.g.*, *Paul*, *Johnson*, *Alston & Hunt v. Graulty*, 886 F.2d 268 (9th Cir. 1989); *Six Mexican Workers v. Arizona Citrus Growers*, 904 F.2d 1301 (9th Cir. 1990); *Vizcaino v. Microsoft Corp.*, 290 F.3d 1043 (9th Cir. 2002). The Court reaches this conclusion based on attorneys' fees awards issued in similar cases, and the fact that the common fund of \$7,664,857 was created for Class Members through the efforts of Class Counsel;
- 5. The amount of fees requested is also fair and reasonable as analyzed under the lodestar method. The Court has reviewed the hours devoted to this case by Class Counsel and concludes that they are reasonable. The Court has reviewed the hourly rates used by Class Counsel in calculating their

1	lodestar fees and concludes that these rates are appropriate for attorneys in this locality of Class
2	Counsel's skill and experience. The multiplier of approximately 3.7 is justified by the risk Counsel
3	undertook and the excellent result that they achieved for their clients;
4	6. The \$45,911.17 in litigation costs and expenses incurred by Class Counsel have been
5	adequately documented and were reasonably incurred for the benefit of the Class, and the Court finds
6	that reimbursement of these costs and expenses is justified;
7	THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS:
8	Class Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,875,000 and
9	reimbursement of costs and expenses in the amount of \$45,911.17.
10	
11	Dated:
12	Han arabla James Ware
13	Honorable James Ware United States District Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	